Docket No. 0879-0427P
Appl. No. 10/770,577
Amendment dated November 17, 2005
Reply to Office Action of August 18, 2005
Page 3 of 6

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's thorough consideration provided in the present application. Claims 1 and 3 are currently pending in the instant application. The subject matter of claim 2 has been incorporated into claim 1. Claim 2 has been cancelled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter set forth therein. Claim 1 is independent, and claim 1 has been amended. Reconsideration of the present application is earnestly solicited.

Reasons for Entry of Amendments

At the outset, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered into the Official File since the claims as amended are believed to place the application in condition for allowance.

In the alternative, if the Examiner does not agree that this application is in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered for the purpose of appeal. This Amendment was not presented at an earlier date in view of the fact that the Applicants did not fully appreciate the Examiner's rejection until receiving this Final Office Action. No new issue is raised since claim 2 has been basically rewritten in independent form. See claim 1, as amended.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-3 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by Kobayashi (U.S. Patent No. 5,781,818). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Docket No. 0879-0427P Appl. No. 10/770,577

Amendment dated November 17, 2005

Reply to Office Action of August 18, 2005

Page 4 of 6

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to

advance the prosecution of the present application, independent claim 1 is amended to

incorporate the subject matter of dependent claim 2. Claim 1, as amended, now recites a

combination of elements directed to a lens apparatus capable of performing serial and

parallel communication with a camera body through a serial and parallel communication

interface, including:

a selection device which prohibits the serial communication, wherein:

when the serial communication is prohibited by said selection device, the parallel

communication is performed with a camera body.

By contrast, Kobayashi merely discloses switches 64, 65 that only turn on/off when

the lens 59 is set in a position on the side of a nearest distance end or an infinity distance

end. Thus, the switches as disclosed by Kobayashi have nothing to do with prohibiting

serial communication with the camera body, as presently set forth in claim 1.

Accordingly, the rejection of independent claim 1 should be withdrawn.

In accordance with the above discussion of the patent relied upon by the Examiner,

Applicants respectfully submit that this document fails to teach or suggest the invention as

is set forth by the claims of the instant application.

As to dependent claim 3, Applicants respectfully submit that this claim is allowable

due to its dependence upon an allowable independent claim, as well as for additional

limitations provided by this claim.

Docket No. 0879-0427P Appl. No. 10/770,577 Amendment dated November 17, 2005

Reply to Office Action of August 18, 2005

Page 5 of 6

For example, dependent claim 3 has a characteristic of reducing the processing

speed of the 'processing circuit' of the lens apparatus when the serial communication is

prohibited, and has an effect of reducing power consumption, which is a unique feature to

the present invention. Meanwhile, when a conventional lens that is not capable of high-

speed communication is applied, Kobayashi merely continues to use the conventional and

slow, open drain system to perform the communication. In other words, Kobayashi does

not recognize the technical idea of reducing the processing speed of the 'processing

circuit', which does not result in the effect of reducing power consumption, as is made

possible by the present invention.

Accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Since the remaining references cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to

reject the claims, but merely to show the state-of- the-art, no further comments are deemed

necessary with respect thereto.

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed and/or rendered

moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently

pending rejections and that they be withdrawn.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the Examiner is

invited to contact Carl T. Thomsen, Registration No. 50,786 at (703) 205-8000 in the

Washington, D.C. area.

Docket No. 0879-0427P Appl. No. 10/770,577 Amendment dated November 17, 2005 Reply to Office Action of August 18, 2005 Page 6 of 6

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Marc S. Weiner, #32,181

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

MSW/CTT/sks